



കേരള ഗസറ്റ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 3 Vol. III	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	2014 ഏപ്രിൽ 15 15th April 2014 1189 മേടം 2 2nd Medam 1189 1936 ചൈത്രം 25 25th Chaithra 1936	നമ്പർ No.
			15

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G O. (Rt.) No. 282/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Mohammed Shihabudeen Kolambil, Managing Director, M/s, Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Pulappayil Building, N. H. Road, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O., (S/o Beeran Kolambil, Kolambil House, Palathara, Kadampuzha P. O., Malappuram-676 553) and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Pradeep, A. S/o K. C. Balakrishnan, Kochiyil Cherikkallinmel, Amayoor P. O., Pattambi, Palakkad, Kerala-679 310 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the alliged denial of employment to the employee Sri Pradeep, A. by the management of Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O. is justifiable or not ? If not, what is the remedy he is entitled to? Whether Sri Pradeep, A. is eligible for the salary assured by the management at the time of appointment ? If yes, what is the remedy he is entitled to ?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 283/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Leelamma John, Proprietress, M/s Aleph Enterprises, Prathibha Juntion, Kadappakkada P. O., Kollam-691 008 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. S. Reeja, Aneesh Manzil, Alummoodu P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. S. Reeja (Card No. 32), Kernel Filler, by the management of M/s. Aleph Enterprises, Prathibha Juntion, Kadappakkada P. O., Kollam-691 008 is justifiable ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get ?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 284/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Quilon Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, ST (6) Karamcode P. O., Kollam-691 579 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Co-operative Spinning Mill Employees & Workers Front, Karamcode, Chathanoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the demand for getting promotion as Electrician to Sri B. Asokan (Card No. 159), Motorman from 2011 in Quilon Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Karamcode P. O., Kollam is justifiable ? If so, what relief he is entitled to ?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 285/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Mohammed Shihabudeen Kolambil, Managing Director, M/s, Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Pulappayil Building, N. H. Road, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O., (S/o Beeran Kolambil, Kolambil House, Palathara, Kadampuzha P. O., Malappuram-676 553) and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Jithin George S/o P. T. George, Pullenkunel House, Kollengode, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the alleged denial of employment to the employee Sri Jithin George by the management of Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O., is justifiable or not ? If not, what is the remedy he is entitled to? Whether Sri Jithin George is eligible for the salary assured by the management at the time of appointment ? If yes what is the remedy he is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 286/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Radhakrishnan, M. V., Surya Traders, Pushpanjali Buildings, Nayarambalam, Kochi and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Rema, V. G., Velikkakathu House, Cherai, Kochi in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the the denial of employment to Smt. Rema, V. G. by the management of Surya Traders, Pushpanjali Buildings, Nayarambalam, Kochi is justifiable ? If not what are the benefits she is entitled to ?

(6)

G O. (Rt.) No. 294/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Managing Director, M/s, Prima Beverages Pvt. Limited, Prima House, South Kalamassery-682 033, (2) the Managing Director, M/s, PAPL EXIM INDIA LIMITED, 4/758, South Kalamassery-682 033, and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Laila Sidhique, W/o Sidhique, Alummel House, Kolakkadu Colony, Erumathala P. O., Aluva-683 105 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal from service of Smt. Laila Sidhique by the Management Prima Beverages Limited, Ernakulam-682 033, being Principal Employer and PAPL EXIM INDIA LIMITED, Ernakulam-682 033, being contractor is justifiable or not? If not, what relief she is entitled to?

(7)

G O. (Rt.) No. 304/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st March 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Director, Sreyas, Sulthan Betheri and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri A. R. Bharathan, S/o Ramu, Athiyoor Kuruma Colony, Puthenkunnu P. O.,-673 595 in respect of

matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the the denial of employment and wage arrears to Sri A. R. Bharathan, Co-ordinator is justifiable? If not what are the benefits for which the workman is entitled to ?

(8)

G O. (Rt.) No. 312/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd March 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Malayalam Export Enterprises, 237, Shalimar, Vrindavan Nagar, Kadappakkada P. O., Kollam-691 008 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kottamkara Kashuvandi Thozhilai Council, Reg. No. 168/70, Alumoodu P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Reghunathanpillai, Peeling Mastry, Punnamukku Factory of Malayalam Export Enterprises, with effect from 24-5-2012 by the management of Malayalam Export Enterprises is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,
Under Secretary to Government.